

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Y DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/699,301 10/31/2003		/31/2003	John M. Raterman	NOR-1152		3926		
37172	7590	06/29/2006			EXAMINER			
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (NORDSON)					WILKENS, JANET MARIE			
2700 CAREW TOWER 441 VINE STREET					T UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CINCINNATI	OH 45	202		•	3637			

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/699,301	RATERMAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Janet M. Wilkens	3637		

		Janet M. Wilkens		3637	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 23 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITIC	N FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
th pl a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on is application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	ving replies: (1) an amen tice of Appeal (with appe	dment, aft	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔀 b) 🗌	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (5).	dvisory Action, or (2) the da ater than SIX MONTHS from	n the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
nave beo under 37 set forth may red	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 'CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later use any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under tension and the correspondi shortened statutory period for than three months after the	37 CFR 1.1 ing amount or reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. 🏻 TI fil a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extending the Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. 🔯 1 (a (b	MENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	nsideration and/or searc <mark>l</mark> w);	h (see NO	TE below);	
4. 🔲 1	appeal; and/or) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	16 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice			(PTOL-324).
1 🔲 .6	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all on-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a			
ho TI C C C	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is prome status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: laim(s) allowed: laim(s) objected to: laim(s) rejected: 1-14. laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			ll be entered and an e	explanation of
B. 🔲 TI be	NIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
er st	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to chowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections un y and was not earlier pre	nder appe sented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a l).
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the clair	ms alter e	ntry is below or attach	ieu.
11. 🔲	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the ap	plication in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-144	9) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. 🔲 (Other:		JANET	n. V. il. M. WILKENS	
			PRIMAR	Y EXAMINER	
			ナド	7253	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Namely, instead of the base only needing one sidewall, the proposed amendment would require it to need four.